



Families of hypersurfaces of large degree

Christophe Mourougane

► To cite this version:

Christophe Mourougane. Families of hypersurfaces of large degree. Journal of the European Mathematical Society, 2012, 14 (3), pp.911-936. 10.4171/JEMS/322 . hal-00422633

HAL Id: hal-00422633

<https://hal.science/hal-00422633>

Submitted on 8 Oct 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FAMILIES OF HYPERSURFACES OF LARGE DEGREE

CHRISTOPHE MOURUGANE

ABSTRACT. We show that general moving enough families of high degree hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} do not have a dominant set of sections.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Grauert-65], Grauert solved Mordell conjecture for curves over function fields. Lang generalised this statement in [Lang-86].

Conjecture (Lang’s conjecture over function fields). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective surjective morphism of complex algebraic manifolds, whose generic fibre is of general type. If f is not birationally trivial, then there is a proper subscheme of X that contains the image of all sections of π .*

Grauert’s proof can be read as a construction of first order differential equations fulfilled by all but finite number of sections of the family. First order differential equations are also enough to deal with families of manifolds with ample cotangent bundles ([Noguchi-81][Moriwaki-95]). We implement this idea in higher dimensions with higher order differential equations, in a case where the positivity assumption is made only for the canonical bundle, to prove the

Main Theorem. *For general moving enough families of high enough degree hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , there is a proper algebraic subset of the total space that contains the image of all sections.*

General families are in particular required to be non-birationally isotrivial. By definition, “moving enough families” are those families parametrised by a curve B with variation given by a line bundle on B whose degree is large and $(n + 1)$ -times the degree of a rational function on B .

We point out that Noguchi [Noguchi-85] gave a proof of Lang’s conjecture when the members of the family are assumed to be hyperbolic and the smooth part is assumed to be hyperbolically embedded. Eventhough Kobayashi conjectured that a generic hypersurface of large degree in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} is hyperbolic, our proof does not rely on properties of families hyperbolic manifolds, like normality. We benefit however from the recent works dealing with Kobayashi conjecture, especially from [Demailly-95].

The first part of the work describes general tools for dealing with higher order jets. The second part is devoted to the proof of the

Theorem 1. *All sections of general moving enough families of high enough degree hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} fulfil a differential equation of order $n + 1$.*

Then, adapting general techniques in universal families originating in the work of Clemens [Clemens-86], Voisin [Voisin-96] and Siu [Siu-04], we obtain the main theorem in the third part.

I thank Claire Voisin for the nice idea she gave to me for computing nef cones. I discussed the subject of this paper with many people over more than four years. I would like to thank them all, in a single sentence.

2. JET SPACES FOR SECTIONS

We consider a smooth proper connected family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of n -dimensional manifolds parametrised by a connected curve B , and we intend to construct the jet spaces for sections of π .

2.1. Jets of order one. We follow the ideas of Grauert [Grauert-65].

Consider a section $s : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of the pull-back family $\pi_\rho : \rho^*\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B_\rho$, where $\rho : B_\rho \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism of curves. The map ${}^t ds : s^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{B_\rho}$ satisfies ${}^t ds \circ s^{*t} d\pi_\rho = \text{Id}_{\Omega_{B_\rho}}$, is hence surjective and provides a rank one quotient of $s^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$.

The corresponding curve $s_1 : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$ inside the bundle $\pi_{0,1} : \mathcal{X}_1 := \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of rank one quotients of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ lifts s (i.e. $\pi_{0,1} \circ s_1 = s$), is therefore a section of $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow B_\rho$ and avoids the divisor $\mathcal{D}_1 := \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/B})$ of vertical differentials. The latter is the divisor of the section of $\pi^*T_B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}}(1)$ given by ${}^t d\pi : \pi^*\Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$. We have to study the positivity properties of this line bundle, which transfer into mobility properties of the forbidden divisor \mathcal{D}_1 .

2.2. Second order jets. As in the preceding section, the curve $s_1 : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$ lifts to a curve inside the bundle of rank one quotients of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}$. More precisely, the rank one quotient ${}^t ds_1 : s_1^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1} \rightarrow \Omega_{B_\rho}$ fulfils the relation

$${}^t ds_1 \circ s_1^{*t} d\pi_{0,1} = {}^t ds.$$

The map ${}^t ds_1$ at the point $[{}^t ds]$ of \mathcal{X}_1 vanishes on the image by ${}^t d\pi_{0,1}$ of forms in the kernel of the tautological quotient ${}^t ds$. In other words, ${}^t ds_1$ is a rank one quotient of the quotient \mathcal{F}_1 of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ defined by the following diagram on \mathcal{X}_1 .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ & S & = & S & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow {}^t d\pi_{0,1} & & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \pi_{0,1}^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{{}^t d\pi_{0,1}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{X}} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{X}} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

Define the second order jet space to be $\pi_{1,2} : \mathcal{X}_2 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$. As in the formalism of Arrondo, Sols and Speiser [A-S-S-97], we need to keep track of the injective map $a_2 : \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1})$ given by the quotient $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$.

We hence get a map $s_2 : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ defined by the quotient ${}^t ds_1 : s_1^*\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \Omega_{B_\rho}$. Note that $\pi_{1,2}$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1})$ of the map defined by the quotient

$\pi_1^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{t d\pi_{0,1}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ so that the relation ${}^t ds_1 \circ s_1^{*t} d\pi_{0,1} = {}^t ds$ is rephrased in the fact that the map s_2 is a lifting of s_1 (i.e. $\pi_{1,2} \circ s_2 = s_1$).

The map ${}^t d\pi_{0,1} : \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ gives rise to a section of $\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(1)$ whose divisor $\mathcal{D}_2 := \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{X}}) \subset \mathcal{X}_2$ is not hit by the curve s_2 for ${}^t ds_1 \circ s_1^{*t} d\pi_{0,1}$ vanishes nowhere.

2.3. Higher order jets. This scheme inductively leads to the construction of the k^{th} -order jet spaces $\pi_{k-1,k} : \mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{k-1}$, together with a map $a_k : \mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}})$ that completes the commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{a_k} & \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}) \\ \pi_{k-1,k} \searrow & & \swarrow p_{k-1} \\ & \mathcal{X}_{k-1} & \end{array}$$

Note that $a_k^* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1)$. The bundle \mathcal{F}_k on \mathcal{X}_k is the quotient of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k}$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & & & 0 & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ S_k & = & & & S_k & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow {}^t d\pi_{k-1,k} & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_{k-1,k}^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} & \xrightarrow{{}^t d\pi_{k-1,k}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}_k & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

The $(k+1)^{th}$ -order jet space is $\pi_{k,k+1} : \mathcal{X}_{k+1} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k$ and the map $a_{k+1} : \mathcal{X}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k})$ is the injective map associated with the quotient $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k$. Note that the relative dimension of $\pi_{k+1,k}$ is equal to that of $\pi_{k-1,k}$ that is n . Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{X}_k = (k+1)n + 1.$$

Now, given a section $s : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of the pull-back family $\pi_\rho : \rho^* \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B_\rho$, by a finite morphism of curves $r : B_\rho \rightarrow B$, assuming that we have constructed the various lifts $s_i : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$, up to the level k , we get the $(k+1)^{th}$ -order jet $s_{k+1} : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{k+1}$ by considering the surjective map ${}^t ds_k : s_k^* \mathcal{F}_k \rightarrow \Omega_{B_\rho}$ built from the relation ${}^t ds_k \circ s_k^{*t} d\pi_{k-1,k} = {}^t ds_{k-1}$.

Recall that the tautological quotient bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(1)$ pulls-back to B_ρ via s_{k+1} into the considered quotient Ω_{B_ρ}

$$s_{k+1}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(1) = \Omega_{B_\rho}.$$

The map ${}^t d\pi_{k-1,k} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k$ gives rise to a divisor $\mathcal{D}_{k+1} = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}})$ on \mathcal{X}_{k+1} in the linear system $|\pi_{k+1,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(1)|$ that the curve s_{k+1} avoids.

2.4. Description in coordinates. Choose a local coordinate t on B and a adapted system of local coordinates on \mathcal{X} , $(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ such that the map π is given by $(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \mapsto t$. The set of vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$ provides us with a local frame for $T_{\mathcal{X}}$. This defines relative homogeneous coordinates $[T_1 : A_1 : A_2 : \dots : A_n]$ on \mathcal{X}_1 .

A section s of π locally written as $t \mapsto (t, z_1(t), z_2(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ is differentiated in

$$ds : \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + z'_1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z'_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + z'_n(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}.$$

The first order jet of the curve s is therefore locally written as $s_1 : B \mapsto \mathcal{X}_1 = P(T_{\mathcal{X}})$,

$$s_1 : t \mapsto (t, z_1(t), z_2(t), \dots, z_n(t), [1 : z'_1(t) : z'_2(t) : \dots : z'_n(t)]).$$

It does not meet the divisor $\mathcal{D}_1 := P(T_{\mathcal{X}/B})$ locally given by $T_1 = 0$.

Outside this divisor, we get relative affine coordinates $a_1 := A_1/T_1, a_2 := A_2/T_1, \dots, a_n := A_n/T_1$. Note that for the section s_1 we infer that $a_j(t) = z'_j(t)$. The set of vectors

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}$$

provides us with a local frame for $T_{\mathcal{X}_1}$. The bundle \mathcal{F}_1^* is defined to be

$$\mathcal{F}_1^* := \{(t, z, [A], v) \in T_{\mathcal{X}_1} / d\pi_{0,1}(v) \in [A] \subset T_{\mathcal{X}}\}.$$

It has a local frame built with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + a_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(-1)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \in T_{\mathcal{X}_1/\mathcal{X}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This defines relative homogeneous coordinates $[T_2 : B_1 : B_2 : \dots : B_n]$ on \mathcal{X}_2 .

The section $s_1 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1 - \mathcal{D}_1$ of π_1 is differentiated in

$$\begin{aligned} ds_1 : \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &\mapsto \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + z'_1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z'_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + z'_n(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} + z''_1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} + z''_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2} + \dots + z''_n(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a_1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + a_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + a_n(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right) + z''_1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} + z''_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2} + \dots + z''_n(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The second order jet $s_2 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ is locally written as

$$s_2 : t \mapsto (t, z_1(t), z_2(t), \dots, z_n(t), [1 : z'_1(t) : z'_2(t) : \dots : z'_n(t)], [1 : z''_1(t) : z''_2(t) : \dots : z''_n(t)]).$$

It does not meet the divisor $\mathcal{D}_2 := P(T_{\mathcal{X}_2/\mathcal{X}_1})$ locally given by $T_2 = 0$.

Coordinates in higher order jet spaces are defined similarly.

3. SCHWARZ LEMMA AND HOLOMORPHIC MORSE INEQUALITIES

This section is devoted to the tools needed to prove theorem 1. Consider the line bundles on \mathcal{X}_k defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{m}) := \pi_{1,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(m_1) \otimes \pi_{2,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}(m_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(m_k)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{MD}) := \pi_{1,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(M_1 \mathcal{D}_1) \otimes \pi_{2,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}(M_2 \mathcal{D}_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(M_k \mathcal{D}_k).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\rho &:= \int_{B_\rho} s_1(\Omega_{B_\rho}) = - \int_{B_\rho} c_1(T_{B_\rho}) = -2 \int_{B_\rho} \text{Todd}(T_{B_\rho}) = -2\chi(B_\rho) = 2g(B_\rho) - 2 \\ &\geq (\deg \rho)(2g(B) - 2) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a section σ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{MD}) \otimes \pi_k^* \lambda^{-1}$. Pull it back to B_ρ via the map $s_k : B_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_k$ into a section $s_k^* \sigma$ of the line bundle $\Omega_{B_\rho}^{\otimes |\underline{m}|} \otimes \rho^* \lambda^{-1}$. If the

latter bundle has an ample dual bundle (i.e. if $\deg \lambda > \frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho} \mid \underline{m} \mid$), then the section $s_k^* \sigma$ has to vanish. This gives

Lemma 3.1 (Schwarz lemma). *If a line bundle λ on B has degree $\deg \lambda$ greater than $\frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho} \mid \underline{m} \mid$, then for every section s of $\rho^* \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B_\rho$ and every section σ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(M\mathcal{D}) \otimes \pi_k^* \lambda^{-1}$ on \mathcal{X}_k , the k^{th} -order jet s_k of s lies in the zero locus of σ .*

Note that we considered only those bundles having zero components along the Picard group of \mathcal{X}/B . For example, in the family of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} case, bounding the intersection number $s(B) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1)$, called the height of the section s , is a main step in proving Lang's conjecture. We therefore have to try and produce sections of bundles without any component along the Picard group of \mathcal{X}/B . We will use the algebraic form of holomorphic Morse inequalities to achieve this.

Proposition 3.2 (Holomorphic Morse inequalities). *A multiple mL of a line bundle L on a projective manifold of dimension D that can be written as the difference of two nef line bundles $L = A - B$ is effective and big if furthermore the intersection number $A^D - DA^{D-1} \cdot B$ is positive and $m \geq m_0(c_1(A), c_1(B))$.*

To prove that m_0 only depends on $(c_1(A), c_1(B))$, we just recall that, in the inequality that estimates the alternating sum of dimensions of cohomology groups

$$h^0 - h^1(X, L^{\otimes m}) \geq \frac{m^D}{D!} (A^D - DA^{D-1} \cdot B) + o(m^D),$$

the remainder is made of numerical data.

There are three elements to settle to get the proof of theorem 1, the construction of nef line bundles on jet spaces, the inequality $\deg \lambda > \frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho} \mid \underline{m} \mid$ and the positivity of the intersection number $A^D - DA^{D-1} \cdot B$.

Note that we may allow a negative part along the Picard group of \mathcal{X}/B . This will give the height estimates.

4. THE NEF CONES

We will now restrict to the situation of a family of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} given by a section s_0 of an ample line bundle L_0 on $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. We will assume that the genus of B and the relative dimension n are at least 2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & \swarrow & pr_1 & & \\ B & & & & \end{array}$$

The map $pr_2 \circ \iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ will be denoted by R . This gives the further sequence on \mathcal{X}

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_{0|\mathcal{X}}^* \xrightarrow{t_{ds_0}} \Omega_B \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}|\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{t_{d\iota}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

From Leray-Hirsch theorem, we know that $Pic(B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}) = pr_1^* Pic B \oplus pr_2^* Pic \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. In particular, we will write L_0 as $pr_1^* \lambda_0 \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d_0)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d_0) = (L_0)_{|pr_1^{-1}b}$ is ample ($d_0 > 0$) and $(pr_1)_* L_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes S^{d_0} \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ is effective ($\deg \lambda_0 \geq 0$).

4.1. The nef cone of \mathcal{X} . For the line bundle L_0 is assumed to be ample and \mathcal{X} is of dimension at least 3, Lefschetz hyperplan theorem reads

$$Pic \mathcal{X} = \iota^* Pic(B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}) = \pi^* Pic B \oplus R^* Pic \mathbb{P}^{n+1}.$$

For a line bundle λ on B and an integer d , we will denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d) = \pi^* \lambda \otimes R^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)$ the restriction to \mathcal{X} of the line bundle $\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d) = pr_1^* \lambda \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)$.

The line bundle $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_B(b)$, nef but not ample, has its Chern class lying on a vertices of the nef cone of \mathcal{X} . If the morphism $R : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is not finite (e.g. the section defining \mathcal{X} does not involve all the homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^{n+1}) then the line bundle $R^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)$ gives the second vertices. This is not the generic case.

The top intersection number of the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d)) \in NS(\mathcal{X})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d))^{n+1} &= \iota^* [pr_1^* c_1(\lambda) + pr_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d))]^{n+1} \\ &= [c_1(\lambda_0) + c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d_0))] \cdot [c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d))^{n+1} + (n+1)c_1(\lambda)pr_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d))^n] \\ &= d^n [d \deg(\lambda_0) + (n+1)d_0 \deg(\lambda)]. \end{aligned}$$

It has to be non-negative on the nef cone. We hence get in $NS_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}) \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (l, d)/d \geq 0, \quad l \geq 0 \right\} &= \iota^* Nef(B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}) \\ &\subset Nef(\mathcal{X}) \subset \left\{ (l, d)/d \geq 0, \quad l \geq -\frac{\deg \lambda_0}{(n+1)d_0} d \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. The pseudo-effective cone of \mathcal{X} . We now compute the pseudo-effective cone, $Eff(\mathcal{X}) \supset Nef(\mathcal{X})$. Take $\deg \lambda < 0$ and $d > 0$. The push-forward by pr_1 of the sequence defining the structure sheaf of \mathcal{X} tensorised by $\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)$, reads

$$0 \rightarrow (pr_1)_* (\lambda \otimes \lambda_0^* \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d - d_0)) \rightarrow (pr_1)_* (\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)) \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d) \rightarrow 0$$

that is

$$0 \rightarrow \lambda \otimes \lambda_0^* \otimes S^{d-d_0} \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \rightarrow \lambda \otimes S^d \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $\deg \lambda < 0$, the associated long exact sequence gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d)) \rightarrow \\ H^1(B, \lambda \otimes \lambda_0^*) \otimes S^{d-d_0} \mathbb{C}^{n+2} &\rightarrow H^1(B, \lambda) \otimes S^d \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \rightarrow H^1(B, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if ℓ is large, $\mathcal{R}^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda^{\otimes \ell}, \ell d)$ vanishes, so that $H^1(B, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda^{\otimes \ell}, \ell d))$ and $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda^{\otimes \ell}, \ell d))$ become isomorphic. We infer

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda, d)) &\geq h^1(B, \lambda \otimes \lambda_0^*) \otimes S^{d-d_0} \mathbb{C}^{n+2} - h^1(B, \lambda) \otimes S^d \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \\ &\geq -\chi(B, \lambda \otimes \lambda_0^*) \otimes S^{d-d_0} \mathbb{C}^{n+2} + \chi(B, \lambda) \otimes S^d \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \\ &\geq [\deg \lambda + 1 - g(B)] \binom{d+n+1}{n+1} \\ &\quad - [\deg \lambda - \deg \lambda_0 + 1 - g(B)] \binom{d-d_0+n+1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We find that if $\deg \lambda > -\frac{\deg \lambda_0}{(n+1)d_0}d$, for large ℓ , $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\lambda^{\otimes \ell}, \ell d)) \neq 0$. Hence

$$\left\{d \geq 0, \quad l \geq 0\right\} \subset \text{Nef}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \left\{d \geq 0, \quad l \geq -\frac{\deg \lambda_0}{(n+1)d_0}d\right\} \subset \text{Eff}(\mathcal{X}).$$

4.3. The cones in the generic case. The ideas described here are due to Claire Voisin. The key result is the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset T \times P \rightarrow T$ be a family of complex algebraic ample hypersurfaces of dimension at least 3 of a projective manifold P . Assume that Y_0 is irreducible, and that the nef cone and the pseudo-effective cone of its normalisation coincide. Then the nef cone and the pseudo-effective cone of a very general member of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T$ do also coincide.*

Proof. The Picard group of any general member is induced by that of P by Lefschetz theorem. Take a numerical class c in $NS(P)$. Take a line bundle \mathcal{L} on P in the class c whose restriction to a very general member Y_t is effective. There is a maximal Zariski closed subset Z_c of T such that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{|Y_t}$ is algebraically equivalent to an effective line bundle for all t in Z_c . Define Z to be the countable union of all those Z_c that are strict in T . Removing the countable union of images Z' in T of components that do not dominate T of the Hilbert scheme of vertical curves in \mathcal{Y} , we can ensure that every curve C in Y_t for $t \in T - Z'$ deforms locally around t , and by properness of components of the Hilbert schemes, specialises to a curve C_0 at 0.

Take a $\tau \in T - Z - Z'$. Take a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(P)$ whose restriction to Y_τ is effective and a curve C in Y_τ . We have to check that $\deg \mathcal{L}_{|C} \geq 0$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{|Y_t}$ is algebraically equivalent to an effective line bundle on the whole of T and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{|Y_0}$ pulls back to a nef line bundle on the normalisation of Y_0 , by hypothesis. Here we use the irreducibility of Y_0 to make sure that the gotten section do not identically vanish on some irreducible component of Y_0 . For $\deg \nu^* \mathcal{L}_{|\nu^{-1}C_0} \geq 0$, we infer using intersection theory for line bundles on the singular fibre Y_0 and especially the projection formula, that the integer $\deg \mathcal{L}_{|C} \geq 0$. \square

In our setting this leads to the

Proposition 4.2. *Take a line bundle $\lambda_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d_0)$ on $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Assume that there is a rational function f on B such that $\deg \lambda_0$ is $(n+1) \deg f$. If \mathcal{X} is very general in the linear system $|\lambda_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d_0)|$, then*

$$\text{Nef}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Eff}(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{(l, d) / \quad d \geq 0, \quad l \geq -\frac{\deg \lambda_0}{(n+1)d_0}d\right\}.$$

Proof. Take a rational function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree m and a generic hypersurface X of \mathbb{P}^{n+1} defined by the polynomial F of degree μ . Construct the finite map gotten from Segre embedding and a general projection

$$\phi : B \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}.$$

and the map $\Phi = (Id_B, \phi) : B \times X \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Denote its image by \mathcal{X}_0 . The map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ is explicitly given in terms of coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} [X_0 : X_1] \times [Y_0 : Y_1 : \cdots : Y_{n+1}] \mapsto [2X_1Y_0 : X_0Y_0 - X_1Y_1 : X_0Y_1 - X_1Y_2 : \cdots \\ \cdots : X_0Y_n - X_1Y_{n+1} : 2X_0Y_{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $F(1, 0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ and F is general, we can project to get a finite map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1 \times X &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \\ ([X_0 : X_1], [Y_0 : Y_1 : \dots : Y_{n+1}]) &\mapsto [X_0 Y_0 - X_1 Y_1 : X_0 Y_1 - X_1 Y_2 : \dots \\ &\quad \dots : X_0 Y_n - X_1 Y_{n+1} : 2X_0 Y_{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The equation of the image \mathcal{X}_0 of Φ

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_0^{n+1}U_0 + X_0^n X_1 U_1 + X_0^{n-1} X_1^2 U_2 + \dots + X_1^{n+1} \frac{U_{n+1}}{2} : \dots \\ \dots : X_0^{n+1} U_{n-1} + X_0^n X_1 U_n + X_0^{n-1} X_1^2 \frac{U_{n+1}}{2} : X_0^{n+1} U_n + X_0^n X_1 \frac{U_{n+1}}{2} : X_0^{n+1} \frac{U_{n+1}}{2}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

is of bidegree $((n+1)m\mu, \mu)$. Note that $n+1$ is the degree of the image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$ (considered as a divisor in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$) by the Segre map to \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} .

The nef cone of $B \times X$ is equal to its effective cone. By lemma 4.1, we infer that the same holds true for very general deformations of the image \mathcal{X}_0 , whose normalisation is $B \times X$.

We can now apply this to get more bidegrees than just those of type $((n+1)m\mu, \mu)$. Take \mathcal{X} to be very general hypersurface of bidegree $((n+1)m, 1)$ whose nef cone and the pseudo-effective cone coincide. Consider the Frobenius like finite morphism $\psi : \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ gotten by raising homogeneous coordinates to the power e , and the hypersurface $\mathcal{X}' := (Id|_B, \psi)^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$. It is a smooth ample hypersurface of $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of bidegree $((n+1)m, e)$. By Lefschetz theorem, its \mathbb{Q} -Neron Severi group coincide with that of $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Take a curve C' and an effective divisor D' in \mathcal{X}' . Its multiple eD' pulls back from an effective divisor D in \mathcal{X} , which is nef by hypothesis.

$$eD' \cdot C' = \psi^{-1}(D) \cdot C' = e^{n+1} D \cdot \psi(C') \geq 0.$$

The hypersurface \mathcal{X}' may be not very general, but applying the lemma again, we infer that the nef cone and the pseudo-effective cone of a very general hypersurface of bidegree $((n+1)m, e)$ coincide. \square

4.4. A nef line bundle on \mathcal{X}_1 . By Leray-Hirsch theorem, the Picard group of $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is the group

$$Pic \mathcal{X}_1 = Pic \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(1) = Pic B \oplus Pic \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(1).$$

Accordingly, we will use the notation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(\lambda, d; m_1)$. The bundle $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} = \Lambda^n T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} \otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}$ is a quotient of $(\Lambda^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1)^{\oplus n+1}) \otimes K_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} = (\Lambda^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^{\oplus n+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-2)$. Hence, for Ω_B is globally generated, the quotient (see 4.1) $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(2)$ and therefore the bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(0, 2; 1)$ also are.

4.5. A nef line bundle on \mathcal{X}_{k+1} . Generally, the bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} = \Lambda^{n-1} T_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} \otimes K_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}$ is a quotient of

$$\Lambda^{n-1} (\pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-n-1) \otimes \pi_{k-1,k}^* \det \mathcal{F}_{k-1} = \pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-2).$$

Assuming that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}(\underline{m}_{k-1})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{m}_{k-1}, 1)$ are nef, we infer from the defining sequence of \mathcal{F}_k

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{3m}_{k-1}, 3) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(2) \otimes \pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}(\underline{3m}_{k-1}) \\ &\rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(2) \otimes \pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}(\underline{3m}_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

setting $\underline{m}_k := (3\underline{m}_{k-1}, 2) = 2(\underline{m}_{k-1}, 1) + (\underline{m}_{k-1}, 0)$ that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(\underline{m}_k)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{k+1}}(\underline{m}_k, 1)$ are nef. We find that

$$L_k := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(0, 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}; 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}, \dots, 2 \cdot 3^2, 2 \cdot 3, 2, 1)$$

is nef of total degree 3^k .

5. CONSTRUCTION OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

5.1. Definitions of Segre classes. Recall that the total Segre class $s(E)$ of a complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank r is defined in the following way : its component $s_i(E)$ of degree $2i$ is computed as $p_* c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^{r-1+i}$, where $p : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the variety of rank one quotients of E . From this construction, one deduces that for a line bundle $L \rightarrow X$,

$$s_i(E \otimes L) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{r-1+i}{i-j} s_j(E) c_1(L)^{i-j}.$$

From Grothendieck defining relation for Chern classes

$$c_r(p^* E^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)) = \sum_{i=0}^r p^* c_i(E^*) c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^{r-i} = 0$$

one infers that total Segre class $s(E)$ is the formal inverse $c(E^*)^{-1}$ of the total Chern class of the dual bundle E^* . It is therefore multiplicative in short exact sequences.

5.2. Computations on \mathcal{X} . Set on $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $A := pr_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1))$, $B := pr_1^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$. In particular, we can write $c_1(L_0) = dA + rB$. We have the relations $A^{n+2} = 0$, $B^2 = 0$, $A^{n+1}B = 1$. Set on \mathcal{X} , $\alpha := R^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1)) = \iota^* A$, $\beta := \pi^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_B(1)) = \iota^* B$. We have the relations

$$\alpha^{n+1} = c_1(L_0) A^{n+1} = r, \quad \alpha^n \beta = c_1(L_0) A^n B = d.$$

Hence, r is the degree of the map $R := pr_2 \circ \iota$, and d is the degree of $X_b \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

From the relation (4.1) and the Euler sequence on \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , we infer that the total Segre class of $\mathcal{F}_0 = \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} s(\mathcal{F}_0) &= \pi^* s(\Omega_B) R^* s(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \iota^* s(L_0^*)^{-1} \\ &= \pi^* s(\Omega_B) R^* s(\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)) \iota^* c(L_0) = \pi^* s(\Omega_B) R^* c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1))^{-(n+2)} \iota^* c(L_0) \\ &= (1 + \chi\beta)(1 + \alpha)^{-(n+2)}(1 + d\alpha + r\beta). \end{aligned}$$

We find that the Segre classes of \mathcal{F}_0 are polynomials in (α, β) with coefficients that are linear in (r, d) . In particular,

$$s_1(\mathcal{F}_0) = (d - n - 2)\alpha + (r + \chi)\beta.$$

5.3. A recursion formula. Recall the defining relation for the bundles \mathcal{F}_k on \mathcal{X}_k

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

still valid for $k = 0$, if we set $\mathcal{X}_{-1} = B$, $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(1) = \pi^* \Omega_B$, that is

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_B \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will also need the relative Euler sequence on \mathcal{X}_k

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}} \rightarrow \pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the two previous sequences, we can compute the total Segre class of \mathcal{F}_k in terms of the Segre class of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} ($k \geq 1$). Set

$$\alpha_k := c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}}(1)) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1)).$$

Remark to begin with, that the first Segre classes are easy to compute. We find

$$(5.3) \quad s_1(\mathcal{F}_k) = (\pi_{0,k})^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0) - n(\alpha_k + (\pi_{k-1,k})^* \alpha_{k-1} + \cdots + (\pi_{1,k})^* \alpha_1).$$

In general,

$$\begin{aligned} s(\mathcal{F}_k) &= s(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1))s(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}) = s(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1))s(\pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-1)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(k+1)n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} s_{\ell-i}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1)) s_i(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_k/\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(k+1)n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} s_{\ell-i}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(1)) s_i(\pi_{k-1,k}^* \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(-1)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(k+1)n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \alpha_k^{\ell-i} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{n+i}{i-j} \pi_{k-1,k}^* s_j(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) (-\alpha_k)^{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(k+1)n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \pi_{k-1,k}^* s_j(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \alpha_k^{\ell-j} \sum_{i=j}^{\ell} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{n+i}{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(k+1)n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-j} (-1)^i \binom{n+j+i}{i} \right] \pi_{k-1,k}^* s_j(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \alpha_k^{\ell-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Defining the numbers $\mathcal{L}_e^{f+e} := \sum_{i=0}^f (-1)^i \binom{e+i}{e}$, we get

$$s_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}_k) = \sum_{a+b=\ell} \mathcal{L}_{n+a}^{n+\ell} \pi_{k-1,k}^* s_a(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \alpha_k^b.$$

5.4. Estimates for intersection numbers. The idea comes from the reading of [Diverio-09]. Recall that the line bundle

$$L_k := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(0, 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}; 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}, \dots, 2 \cdot 3^2, 2 \cdot 3, 2, 1)$$

is nef on \mathcal{X}_k . Its first Chern class is

$$l_k := \alpha_k + 2\pi_{k-1,k}^* \alpha_{k-1} + 6\pi_{k-2,k}^* \alpha_{k-2} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{j-1} \pi_{k-j,k}^* \alpha_{k-j} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2} \pi_{1,k}^* \alpha_1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1} \pi_{0,k}^* \alpha.$$

We are in position to prove

Lemma 5.1. *For $r \gg d \gg 1$,*

(1)

$$s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)^{n+1} \sim (n+2)rd^{n+1}.$$

(2)

$$(\pi_{k-1,k})_* l_k^{n+1} \geq \pi_{0,k-1}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)$$

(3)

$$l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2} \cdots l_s^{m_s} \cdot \alpha \leq C(d^{n+1} + rd^n).$$

The output is that the leading numerical term comes from the relative canonical degree.

Proof. (1) Just compute

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)^{n+1} &= ((d-n-2)\alpha + (r+\chi)\beta)^{n+1} \\ &\sim d^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1} + (n+1)rd^n\alpha^n\beta = (n+2)rd^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Recall from the relation (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{k-1,k})_* l_k^{n+1} &= s_1(\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + (n+1)(2\alpha_{k-1} + 6\alpha_{k-2} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{j-1}\alpha_{k-j} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}\alpha_1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}\alpha) \\ &= \pi_{0,k-1}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0) - n(\alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-2} + \cdots + \alpha_1) \\ &\quad + (n+1)(2\alpha_{k-1} + 6\alpha_{k-2} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{j-1}\alpha_{k-j} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}\alpha_1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}\alpha) \\ &= \pi_{0,k-1}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0) + (n+2)\alpha_{k-1} + (5n+6)\alpha_{k-2} + \cdots \\ &\quad + ((n+1)2 \cdot 3^{j-1} - n)\alpha_{k-j} + ((n+1)2 \cdot 3^j - n)\alpha_{k-j-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad + ((n+1)2 \cdot 3^{k-2} - n)\alpha_1 + 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from the inequalities $(n+1)2 \cdot 3^j - n \geq 3[(n+1)2 \cdot 3^{j-1} - n]$ that ensure the nefness of $(\pi_{k-1,k})_* l_k^{n+1} - \pi_{0,k-1}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)$.

(3) It follows from the recursion formula that, computed in \mathcal{X} of dimension $n+1$,

$$l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2} \cdots l_s^{m_s} \cdot \alpha = \sum_{k \leq n} C_I s_{i_1}(\mathcal{F}_0) s_{i_2}(\mathcal{F}_0) \cdots s_{i_k}(\mathcal{F}_0) \cdot \alpha.$$

Recall that the Segre classes of \mathcal{F}_0 are polynomials in (α, β) whose coefficients are linear in (r, d) .

$$l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2} \cdots l_s^{m_s} \cdot \alpha = P(r, d)\alpha^{n+1} + Q(r, d)\alpha^n\beta = P(r, d)r + Q(r, d)d$$

where P and Q are polynomials in (r, d) of degree less or equal to n . □

5.5. Final argument. We choose $\kappa = n+1$. We work on \mathcal{X}_κ with the fractional line bundle

$$A = L_{n+1} \otimes L_n \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes L_1 \otimes \left[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B\left(-\frac{r}{(n+1)d}\right) \right]$$

and we choose B so that $L := A \otimes B^{-1}$ has negative component along $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}/B)$, that is, for some fixed positive rational number x ,

$$B = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(2 \cdot 3^{n+1-1} + \cdots + 2 \cdot 3^{j-1} + \cdots + 2 + 1 + x) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(3^{n+1} + x).$$

For $\kappa = n + 1$, we have $\dim \mathcal{X}_\kappa = \kappa(n + 1)$. Hence, for we only omit intersections of nef classes,

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{\dim \mathcal{X}_\kappa} &= \left(l_\kappa + l_{\kappa-1} + \cdots + l_1 + \left(\alpha - \frac{r}{(n+1)d} \beta \right) \right)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_\kappa} \\
&\geq l_\kappa^{(n+1)} l_{\kappa-1}^{(n+1)} \cdots l_1^{(n+1)} \\
&\geq \pi_{0,\kappa-1}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0) \cdot l_{\kappa-1}^{(n+1)} \cdots l_1^{(n+1)} \\
&\geq \pi_{0,\kappa-2}^* s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)^2 \cdot l_{\kappa-2}^{(n+1)} \cdots l_1^{(n+1)} \\
&\vdots \\
&\geq s_1(\mathcal{F}_0)^\kappa \sim (n+2)rd^{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to lemma 5.1. On the other hand, thanks to the same lemma,

$$A^{\dim \mathcal{X}_{\kappa-1}} \cdot B = \sum C_M l_1^{m_1} l_2^{m_2} \cdots l_s^{m_s} \cdot \alpha \leq C(d^{n+1} + rd^n).$$

Fix $\rho : B_\rho \rightarrow B$. If r and d are large enough so that $A^{\dim \mathcal{X}_\kappa} - A^{\dim \mathcal{X}_{\kappa-1}} \cdot B > 0$ and so that the inequality in Schwarz lemma $\frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (3^j - 2 \cdot 3^{j-1}) = \frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho} \frac{3^{n+1}-1}{2} < \frac{r}{(n+1)d}$ is fulfilled, then the line bundle $A \otimes B^{-1}$ is big and the sections of its powers provide equations for the jets of sections of the family $\mathcal{X}_\rho \rightarrow B_\rho$. This ends the proof of theorem 1. We will in fact need a more precise version.

Definition 5.1. A family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} is said to be “moving enough” if it is given inside $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ by a section of a line bundle $\lambda \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)$ where λ is a line bundle on B whose degree, called the variation of π , is large and equal to $(n+1)$ -times the degree of a rational function on B .

Theorem 2. For all fixed positive δ , for r and d large enough, there exists an m_0 such that all sections of general “moving enough” families of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} of degree d and variation r fulfil a differential equation of order $n+1$, given by a section of a line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n+1}}(\underline{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-|\underline{m}| \delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-|\underline{m}| \frac{r}{(n+1)d})$$

where $|\underline{m}| = m_0$.

5.6. Height inequalities. We now look for a statement that incorporates the dependence in the ramified cover $B_\rho \rightarrow B$. We work on \mathcal{X}_{n+1} with

$$A = L_{n+1} \otimes L_n \otimes \cdots \otimes L_j \otimes \cdots \otimes L_1$$

and we choose B so that $L := A \otimes B^{-1}$ has negative component on $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}/B)$, that is

$$B = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(3^{n+1} - 1 + x).$$

The previous computations show that $A - B$ is big for large enough r and d . As a result, we obtain

Theorem 3. Fix a positive integer x . For large enough r and d , for every family \mathcal{X} gotten by section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_B(r)$, there exists a proper algebraic set $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ such

that for every finite ramified cover $\rho : B_\rho \rightarrow B$ and every section s of $\rho^*\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B_\rho$ whose $(n+1)$ -th order jet do not lie in \mathcal{Y} , the following height inequality holds

$$h(s(B)) = \frac{s(B_\rho) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1)}{\deg \rho} \leq \frac{3^{n+1} - 1}{2x} \frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho}.$$

This is an analog of the first part of Vojta's work [Vojta-78]. The deepest part dealing with sections having $(n+1)$ -jet inside \mathcal{Y} would require an analog of Jouanolou's result on foliations, that seems out of reach now.

6. NON-ZARISKI DENSITY

We follow the ideas of Siu [Siu-04], described in details in [D-M-R-08]. Let B be a compact complex curve, $\mathcal{O}_B(r)$ a holomorphic line bundle on B . Consider the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_B(r) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)| = \mathbb{P}^N$ on $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ whose each element represents a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ of degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} parametrised by B with variation $\mathcal{O}_B(r)$. Consider the associated universal family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \subset & \mathbb{P}^N \times B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \\ \Pi \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ \mathbb{P}^N & & \end{array}$$

We will denote by \mathfrak{X}_κ the κ -jets space of sections of the families $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$.

6.1. Proof using vector fields on universal families. Consider a family $\Pi^{-1}(A) = (\pi : \mathcal{X}^A = \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B)$ of degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} parametrised by B with variation $\mathcal{O}_B(r)$. Consider a section $s : B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of π and a non-zero section σ of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{-\lambda, -\delta, \underline{m}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\kappa}(\underline{m}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-|\underline{m}| \delta) \otimes \lambda^{-|\underline{m}|}$ on \mathcal{X}_κ where, for r and d are assumed to be large, we can impose $\delta > 0$ and the inequality of Schwarz lemma $\deg \lambda > \frac{\chi_\rho}{\deg \rho}$.

The pushforward $(\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\sigma$ is a non-zero section of the vector bundle $(\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\mathcal{L}_{-\lambda, -\delta, \underline{m}} = E_{-\lambda, -\delta, \underline{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Constant sections are those whose first order jet lies inside $\{z'_1 = z'_2 = \dots = z'_n = 0\}$. We can now prove the precise version of the main theorem.

Theorem 4. *If r and d are large enough, π (i.e. A) is generic, $|\underline{m}|$ meets the requirements of holomorphic Morse inequalities and s is not constant, then*

$$s(B) \subset \text{Zero}((\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\sigma) \subset \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. We only sketch the proof, the details being close to that given in [D-M-R-08]. We argue by contradiction and assume that there exists a b_0 in B where $(\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\sigma(s(b_0)) \neq 0$. Take another view point on the section σ and view it as a meromorphic function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_\kappa & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \zeta_\kappa & \mapsto & \sum_{w(I)=m} q_I(b, z) (z'(\zeta_\kappa))^{i_1} \dots (z^{(\kappa)}(\zeta_\kappa))^{i_\kappa} \end{array}$$

where the $q_I(b, z)$ are meromorphic functions on \mathcal{X} , holomorphic when viewed as sections of $\mathcal{O}_B(\lambda) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-\delta)$. The assumption $(\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\sigma(s(b_0)) \neq 0$ translates into the existence of a multiindex I_0 of weighted length m such that $q_{I_0}(s_k(b_0)) \neq 0$.

By the genericity assumption on $\Pi^{-1}(A) = \pi$ we may extend the section $(\pi_{\kappa,0})_*\sigma$ to a section of $\mathfrak{E}_{-\lambda, -\delta, \underline{m}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ on a neighbourhood of \mathcal{X} in \mathfrak{X} .

Proposition 6.1. *Every vector of*

$$T(\mathcal{X}_\kappa^A/\mathcal{X}^A)_{(s_\kappa(b_0))} \subset (T\mathcal{X}_\kappa^A)_{(s_\kappa(b_0))} = T(\mathfrak{X}_\kappa/\mathbb{P}^N)_{(A, s_\kappa(b_0))} \subset (T\mathfrak{X}_\kappa)_{(A, s_\kappa(b_0))}$$

outside the set $\Pi_{\kappa,1}^{-1}\{z'_1 = z'_2 = \dots = z'_n = 0\}$ is the value of a meromorphic vector field on $\Pi_{\kappa,0}^{-1}(U_A) \subset \mathfrak{X}_\kappa$ holomorphic when viewed with values in $\Pi_{\kappa,0}^ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(\kappa^2 + 2\kappa)$.*

Take it for granted until the next subsection. When we differentiate the meromorphic function σ with the gotten meromorphic vector fields at most $|\underline{m}|$ -times, we get meromorphic functions on a neighbourhood of \mathcal{X} in \mathfrak{X} that in turn can be viewed as a section of $\mathcal{L}_{-\lambda, -\delta + (\kappa^2 + 2\kappa), \underline{m}}$. If $-\delta + (\kappa^2 + 2\kappa)$ is still negative then s_κ has to fulfil this new equation. Having chosen the vector fields in a suitable way, thanks to the proposition 6.1, this contradicts $q_{I_0}(s_\kappa(b_0)) \neq 0$. \square

The proof of the main theorem is now ended by the following. Constant sections have null height because they are also constant in the product $B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. If their images would dominate the total space, the arguments of Maehara and Moriawaki [Moriawaki-94] using positivity of direct images of pluricanonical line bundles would show that the family has to be birationally trivial.

6.2. Constructing vector fields on universal families. In homogeneous coordinates, having chosen a basis for \mathbb{C}^{n+2} , the corresponding basis of monomials for $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)|$, and a basis $(\Phi_\beta)_\beta$ for $|\mathcal{O}_B(r)|$, the hypersurface \mathfrak{X} of $\mathbb{P}^N \times B \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is defined by the equation

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha^\beta \Phi_\beta \mathfrak{Z}^\alpha = 0.$$

On the open set $\{\mathfrak{A}_{0,d,0,0,\dots,0}^0 \neq 0\} \times \{\Phi_0(b) \neq 0\} \times \{\mathfrak{Z}_0 \neq 0\}$ the equation rewrites in inhomogeneous coordinates

$$\mathcal{F} = z_1^d + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |\alpha| \leq d \\ \alpha \neq (d, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ \beta \geq 1}} a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(b) z^\alpha = 0.$$

Over this open set, the natural open set of the κ -jets space \mathfrak{X}_κ of sections of the families $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is given inside $\mathbb{C}^N \times U \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}}_{\kappa \text{ times}}$ in terms of the operator

$$\mathfrak{D} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j^{(\lambda+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}}$$

by the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \\ |\alpha| \leq d \\ \beta \geq 1}} a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(t) z^\alpha &= \mathfrak{D} \left(\sum a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(t) z^\alpha \right) = \mathfrak{D}^2 \left(\sum a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(t) z^\alpha \right) = \dots \\ &\dots = \mathfrak{D}^\kappa \left(\sum a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(t) z^\alpha \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Those are the equations one infers from the derivatives of the relation $\sum a_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta(t) z^\alpha(t)$ fulfilled by sections $t \mapsto (t, z_1(t), \dots, z_{n+1}(t))$ of a family $\Pi^{-1}(A)$, after substituting $z_j^{(\lambda)} := \frac{\partial^\lambda z_j(t)}{\partial t^\lambda}$. Denote the partial sum $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha^\beta z^\alpha$ by \mathcal{F}_β . The equations for a vector

field T of the special shape $T := \left(\sum_{\beta} T_{\beta} \right) + T_z$, where $T_{\beta} := \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\alpha}^{\beta}}$ and $T_z := \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} P_j^{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}}$, to be tangent to \mathfrak{X}_{κ} rewrite, thanks to Leibniz formula and the fact that when $\beta \neq \gamma$, $T_{\beta} \cdot D^a \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} = 0$, in terms of the operator $D := \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j^{(\lambda+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \geq 1} \varphi_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\beta} &= 0 \\ \sum_{\beta \geq 1} \varphi_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) + \varphi'_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\beta} &= 0 \\ \sum_{\beta \geq 1} \varphi_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D^2(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) + 2\varphi'_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) + \varphi''_{\beta}(t)(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\beta} &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{\beta \geq 1} \sum_{a=0}^{\kappa} \binom{\kappa}{a} \varphi_{\beta}^{(\kappa-a)}(T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D^a(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

A set of sufficient conditions is therefore

$$\forall \beta, \quad (T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\beta} = (T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) = \dots = (T_{\beta} + T_z) \cdot D^{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}) = 0$$

reducing to the absolute case. Note however that, for theorem 2 provides us with a differential equation of order $n+1$, we need to consider $(n+1)$ -th order jets of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} , whereas the by now well settled results are for n -th order jets.

6.3. Constructing vector fields in the absolute case. We follow the ideas of Siu, Păun, Rousseau and Merker. For notational simplicity, we will replace β by a dot in the following. The exponents in brackets will be relative to the absolute operator D .

Write $(T + T_z) \cdot D^{l+1}(\mathcal{F}) = [T + T_z, D]D^l(\mathcal{F}) + D((T + T_z) \cdot D^l(\mathcal{F}))$ to infer that a set of sufficient conditions for the special vector field $T + T_z$ to contribute to a tangent to \mathfrak{X}_{κ} is

$$\begin{aligned} (T + T_z) \cdot \mathcal{F} &= [T + T_z, D] \cdot \mathcal{F} = [T + T_z, D] \cdot D(\mathcal{F}) = \dots \\ &\dots = [T + T_z, D] \cdot D^{\kappa-1}(\mathcal{F}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will now further restrict the shape of the chosen vector field to simplify its commutator with D .

Lemma 6.2. *Let A_{α}^{\cdot} and P be functions in the $(z_i^{(\lambda)})$ variables. The commutator of the very special vector field $T + T_z = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{\cdot} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\alpha}^{\cdot}} + \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa} P^{(\lambda)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}}$ with D is*

$$[T + T_z, D] = - \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha}^{\cdot})' \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\alpha}^{\cdot}} - P^{(\kappa+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\kappa)}}.$$

Proof. Simply check that

$$\begin{aligned} T(D) &= 0 & D(T) &= \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha}^{\cdot})' \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\alpha}^{\cdot}} \\ T_z(D) &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa} P^{(\lambda)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda-1)}} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa-1} P^{(\lambda+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}} & D(T_z) &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\kappa} P^{(\lambda+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^{(\lambda)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We infer that a set of sufficient conditions for the very special vector field $T + T_z$ to contribute to a tangent vector field to \mathfrak{X}_κ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} + P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} &= 0 \\ - \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})' z^{\alpha} &= - \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})' (z^{\alpha})' = - \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})' (z^{\alpha})^{(2)} = \dots \\ &\dots = - \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})' (z^{\alpha})^{(\kappa-1)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, using the formula $D^{l+1} (\sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(l+1)} + \sum_{\alpha} A'_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(l)} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} D^{l-k} (\sum_{\alpha} A'_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(k)})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} &= -P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \\ \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})' &= \left(-P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \right)' \\ \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(2)} &= \left(-P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \right)^{(2)} \\ \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(3)} &= \left(-P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \right)^{(3)} \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} (z^{\alpha})^{(\kappa)} &= \left(-P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \right)^{(\kappa)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

or also

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} &= -P \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial z^{\alpha}}{\partial z_j} \\ \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})' z^{\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})'' z^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})^{(3)} z^{\alpha} = \dots \\ &\dots = \sum_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha})^{(\kappa)} z^{\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

When P is of degree less than 2, the first equation in the set (6.2) can be fulfilled with constant A_{α} , making the other equations tautological.

When P is of the form $P = z_i^k$, because the only non-zero term in the right hand side of (6.2) can be written as

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq d} b_{\beta} z^{\beta} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \sum_{|\beta|=d} b_{\beta}^{\ell} z^{\beta + \ell \epsilon_i},$$

we look for A_α in the form

$$A_\alpha := \sum_{\substack{\gamma, |\gamma| \leq \kappa \\ |\alpha + \gamma| \leq d}} A_\alpha^\gamma z^\gamma + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min(\alpha_i, k-1)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma, |\gamma| \leq \kappa \\ |\alpha + \gamma - \ell \epsilon_i| = d}} A_\alpha^{\ell, \gamma} z^\gamma.$$

Note that for $\alpha_i \geq \ell$, the multiindex $\alpha + \gamma - \ell \epsilon_i$ is non-negative. Then the set (6.2) rewrites, after recursive simplifications of all terms involving a $z_i^{(k)}$ -variable with $k > 1$, as a set of systems, one for each multiindex $\mu + \ell \epsilon_i$ where μ is a multiindex of length $|\mu| \leq d$ when $\ell = 0$, or $|\mu| = d$ when $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. They have disjoint sets of indeterminates $(A_\alpha^{\ell, \gamma})_{\substack{\alpha + \gamma = \mu + \ell \epsilon_i \\ |\alpha| \leq d, |\gamma| \leq \kappa}}$. Note that for $\alpha_i \geq \ell$ the equality $\alpha + \gamma = \mu + \ell \epsilon_i$ implies $\gamma \leq \mu$. The coefficient on the row indexed by the multiindex $\delta \leq \mu$ of length $|\delta| \leq \kappa$ and the column indexed by $\gamma \leq \mu$ of length $|\gamma| \leq \kappa$ is $z^{\mu + \ell \epsilon_i - \gamma} \frac{\partial^{|\delta|} z^\gamma}{(\partial z)^\delta}$. Its determinant is checked, as in [Păun-08], to be non-zero, for otherwise there would exist a non-zero polynomial of multidegree less or equal to μ and total degree less or equal to κ with all derivatives of order less or equal to μ and total order less or equal to κ vanishing. Let the polynomial P run over the set of polynomials in z_i of degree less or equal to κ . Over the set $\{z_i' \neq 0\}$, the determinant, computed by induction using $(z_i^j)^{(l)} = (j z_i^{j-1} z_i')^{(l-1)} = j \sum_{a=0}^{l-1} \binom{l-1}{a} (z_i^{j-1})^{(a)} z_i'^{(l-a)}$ and combinaison of rows,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_i & z_i^2 & \cdots & z_i^\kappa \\ 1' & (z_i)' & (z_i^2)' & \cdots & (z_i^\kappa)' \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & \vdots & & \\ (1)^{(\kappa)} & (z_i)^{(\kappa)} & (z_i^2)^{(\kappa)} & \cdots & (z_i^\kappa)^{(\kappa)} \end{pmatrix} = 1!2! \cdots \kappa! (z_i')^{\frac{\kappa(\kappa+1)}{2}}$$

does not vanish. This shows that every vector of

$$T(\mathcal{X}_\kappa^A / \mathcal{X}^A)_{(s_\kappa(b_0))} \subset (T\mathcal{X}_\kappa^A)_{(s_\kappa(b_0))} = T(\mathfrak{X}_\kappa / \mathbb{P}^N)_{(A, s_\kappa(b_0))} \subset (T\mathfrak{X}_\kappa)_{(A, s_\kappa(b_0))}$$

is, up to “horizontal vectors”, the value of a meromorphic vector field on $\Pi_{\kappa,0}^{-1}(U_A) \subset \mathfrak{X}_\kappa$ holomorphic when viewed with values in $\Pi_{\kappa,0}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(\kappa)$.

For “horizontal vectors” (i.e. when $P = 0$), we use the set (6.1). By Cramer formulae, over the set $\{z_i' \neq 0\}$, for any given set of $(A_\alpha)_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq \kappa \\ \alpha \neq \ell \epsilon_i}}$ there exists $(A_{\ell \epsilon_i})_l$ that fulfil the previous equations. Their pole order is less or equal to $\kappa^2 + 2\kappa$. The missing directions $(A_\alpha)_{|\alpha| > \kappa}$ are obtained with even smaller pole order, by considering some universal relations in the differential algebra of polynomials. Details for this last paragraph can be read in [Merker-09].

7. APPENDIX : USING MORSE INEQUALITIES FOR FAMILIES OF SURFACES

We check that in the case of surfaces, the bound $\kappa = n+1$ is optimal to find differential equations using holomorphic Morse inequalities.

Remark first that the numbers \mathcal{L} , that appeared in the recursion formula for Segre classes of the bundles \mathcal{F}_k , can easily be computed writing Pascal triangle. They also fulfil the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_e^f - \mathcal{L}_{e+1}^f = \mathcal{L}_{e+1}^{f+1}.$$

\mathcal{L}_e^f	$e = 0$	$e = 1$	$e = 2$	$e = 3$	$e = 4$	$e = 5$	$e = 6$	$e = 7$	$e = 8$	$e = 9$
$f = 0$	1									
$f = 1$	0	1								
$f = 2$	1	-1	1							
$f = 3$	0	2	-2	1						
$f = 4$	1	-2	4	-3	1					
$f = 5$	0	3	-6	7	-4	1				
$f = 6$	1	-3	9	-13	11	-5	1			
$f = 7$	0	4	-12	22	-24	16	-6	1		
$f = 8$	1	-4	16	-34	46	-40	22	-7	1	
$f = 9$	0	5	-20	50	-80	86	-62	29	-8	1

7.1. **On \mathcal{X}_1 .** We choose ε to be equal to the bound we found when computing the generic nef cone of \mathcal{X} , that is $\varepsilon := \frac{r}{3d}$. Then, we take $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(0, 2; 1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\varepsilon x, x)$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(0, 2 + x)$ with first Chern class

$$a = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha + x(\alpha - \varepsilon\beta) = \alpha_1 + (2 + x)\alpha - x\varepsilon\beta$$

and

$$b = (2 + x)\alpha.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} A^5 - 5A^4B &= (\alpha_1 - \varepsilon x\beta)^5 - 10(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon x\beta)^3(2 + x)^2\alpha^2 - 20(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon x\beta)^2(2 + x)^3\alpha^3 \\ &= s_3 - 5\varepsilon x s_2\beta - 10(2 + x)^2 s_1\alpha^2 - 20(2 + x)^3\alpha^3 + 30\varepsilon(2 + x)^2 x\alpha^2\beta \end{aligned}$$

whose dominant term

$$[-4\chi + 20\varepsilon x]d^2 + 20[1 - (2 + x)^2]rd = -4\chi d^2 - 20[3 + 11/3x + x^2]rd$$

is negative.

7.2. **On \mathcal{X}_2 .** Here we take $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}(0, 6; 2, 1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_1}(0, 2y; y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\varepsilon x, x)$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(0, 6 + 2y + x)$ with first Chern class

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1 + 6\alpha) + y(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha) + x(\alpha - \varepsilon\beta) \\ &= \alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1 + (6 + 2y + x)\alpha - \varepsilon x\beta \end{aligned}$$

and $b = (6 + 2y + x)\alpha$. The bundle $A \otimes B^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}(-\varepsilon x, 0; 2 + y, 1)$.

We compute only the term $(A^7 - 7A^6B)_{dom}$ in $A^7 - 7A^6B$ of degree 3 in (r, d) . From the computation of the direct images on \mathcal{X} of the Segre classes of \mathcal{F}_1 , and from the Segre numbers of \mathcal{F}_0 on \mathcal{X} we infer that the contributions have to contain a part in s_1s_2 or s_1^2 and should therefore contain only one power of α or β . We find that the dominant term is, viewed in \mathcal{X}_2

$$\begin{aligned} (A^7 - 7A^6B)_{dom} &= [\alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1]^7 + 7[\alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1]^6[(6 + 2y + x)\alpha - \varepsilon x\beta] \\ &\quad - 7[\alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1]^6(6 + 2y + x)\alpha \\ &= [\alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1]^7 - 7\varepsilon x[\alpha_2 + (2 + y)\alpha_1]^6\beta \end{aligned}$$

viewed in \mathcal{X}_1

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^7 - 7A^6B)_{dom} = & s_5(\mathcal{F}_1) + 7(2+y)\alpha_1 s_4(\mathcal{F}_1) - 7\epsilon x s_4(\mathcal{F}_1)\beta \\
& + 21(2+y)^2\alpha_1^2 s_3(\mathcal{F}_1) - 7 \times 6\epsilon x(2+y)s_3(\mathcal{F}_1)\alpha_1\beta \\
& + 35(2+y)^3\alpha_1^3 s_2(\mathcal{F}_1) - 7 \times 15\epsilon x(2+y)^2 s_2(\mathcal{F}_1)\alpha_1^2\beta \\
& + 35(2+y)^4\alpha_1^4 s_1(\mathcal{F}_1) - 7 \times 20\epsilon x(2+y)^3 s_1(\mathcal{F}_1)\alpha_1^3\beta \\
& + 21(2+y)^5\alpha_1^5 - 7 \times 15\epsilon x(2+y)^4 \alpha_1^4\beta
\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following expression for the dominant term, viewed in \mathcal{X}

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^7 - 7A^6B)_{dom} = & [-2 - 14(2+y) + 63(2+y)^2 - 70(2+y)^3 + 35(2+y)^4]s_1s_2 \\
& - 7\epsilon x[-13 + 42(2+y) - 45(2+y)^2 + 20(2+y)^3]s_1^2\beta \\
= & [-2 - 14(2+y) + 63(2+y)^2 - 70(2+y)^3 + 35(2+y)^4](\chi d^3 - 12rd^2) \\
& - 7\epsilon x[-13 + 42(2+y) - 45(2+y)^2 + 20(2+y)^3]d^3 \\
= & (222 + 518y + 483y^2 + 210y^3 + 35y^4)(\chi d^3 - 12rd^2) \\
& - 7\epsilon x(51 + 102y + 75y^2 + 20y^3)d^3
\end{aligned}$$

We can apply Schwarz lemma provided $\epsilon x > \chi(3+2y)$. This would lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^7 - 7A^6B)_{dom} \leq & (222 + 518y + 483y^2 + 210y^3 + 35y^4)(\chi d^3 - 12rd^2) \\
& - 7\chi(3+2y)(51 + 102y + 75y^2 + 20y^3)d^3 \\
\leq & -(849 + 2338y + 2520y^2 + 1260y^3 + 245y^4)\chi d^3 \\
& - (2664 + 6216y + 5796y^2 + 2520y^3 + 420y^4)rd^2
\end{aligned}$$

7.3. On \mathcal{X}_3 . Here we take A and B with first Chern class

$$\begin{aligned}
a = & (\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_1 + 18\alpha) + z(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1 + 6\alpha) \\
& + y(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha) + x(\alpha - \epsilon\beta) \\
= & \alpha_3 + (2+z)\alpha_2 + (6+2z+y)\alpha_1 + (18+6z+2y+x)\alpha - \epsilon x\beta
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$b = (18 + 6z + 2y + x)\alpha.$$

The bundle $A \otimes B^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_2}(-\epsilon x, 0; 6 + 2z + y, 2 + z, 1)$. In order to apply Schwarz lemma, we choose

$$\epsilon x = 9 + 3z + y.$$

The dominant term of $A^9 - 9A^8B$ is (computed with Maple)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (34272y^3z + 3304896z^3 + 17136z^6 + 25200y^2z^4 + 1332648 + 906336y + 3997944z + \\
& 495936y^2z + 34272yz^5 + 181440y^2z^3 + 222768z^5 + 212544y^2 + 2416896yz + 1391040yz^3 + \\
& 1189440z^4 + 5016096z^2 + 352800yz^4 + 17136y^3 + 25200y^3z^2 + 6720y^3z^3 + 2613744yz^2 + \\
& 450576y^2z^2)rd^3 \\
& - (869904y^3z + 44108988z^3 + 559608z^6 + 32130y^4z + 772380y^2z^4 + 16542612 + 12428586y + \\
& 49627836z + 8196300y^2z + 18900y^4z^2 + 1085616yz^5 + 3507840y^2z^3 + 3780y^4z^3 + 4306554z^5 + \\
& 3512700y^2 + 30564z^7 + 33142896yz + 21170016yz^3 + 19278y^4 + 18008802z^4 + 63329508z^2 + \\
& 6674220yz^4 + 434952y^3 + 664020y^3z^2 + 221760y^3z^3 + 26460y^3z^4 + 36642312yz^2 + 65016y^2z^5 + \\
& 7663572y^2z^2 + 71316z^6y)\chi d^3.
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [A-S-S-97] Enrique Arrondo, Ignacio Sols and Robert Speiser, *Global moduli for contacts*, Ark. Mat., 35, (1997), 1, 1–57.
- [Clemens-86] Herbert Clemens, *Curves on generic hypersurfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 19, (1986), 4, 629–636.
- [Demailly-95] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet differentials*, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, 285–360, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, (1997).
- [Diverio-09] Simone Diverio, *Existence of global invariant jet differentials on projective hypersurfaces of high degree*, Math. Ann., 344, (2009), 2, 293–315.
- [D-M-R-08] Simone Diverio, Joël Merker and Erwan Rousseau, *Effective algebraic degeneracy* arXiv:0811.2346v5 [math.AG].
- [Grauert-65] Hans Grauert, *Mordells Vermutung über rationale Punkte auf algebraischen Kurven und Funktionenkörper*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 25, (1965), 131–149.
- [Lang-86] Serge Lang, *Hyperbolic and Diophantine analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 14, (1986), 2, 159–205.
- [Merker-09] Joël Merker, *Low pole order frames on vertical jets of the universal hypersurface*, Annales de l’institut Fourier, 59, 3 (2009), 1077–1104.
- [Moriwaki-94] Atsushi Moriwaki, *Remarks on S. Lang’s conjecture over function fields* arXiv:alg-geom/9412021v1
- [Moriwaki-95] Atsushi Moriwaki, *Geometric height inequality on varieties with ample cotangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom., 4, (1995), 2, 385–396
- [Noguchi-81] Junjiro Noguchi, *A higher-dimensional analogue of Mordell’s conjecture over function fields*, Math. Ann., 258, (1981/82), 2, 207–212.
- [Noguchi-85] Junjiro Noguchi, *Hyperbolic fibre spaces and Mordell’s conjecture over function fields*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 21, (1985), 1, 27–46.
- [Păun-08] Mihai Păun, *Vector fields on the total space of hypersurfaces in the projective space and hyperbolicity*, Math. Ann., 340, (2008), 4, 875–892.
- [Siu-04] Yum-Tong Siu, *Hyperbolicity in complex geometry*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 543–566.
- [Voisin-96] Claire Voisin, *On a conjecture of Clemens on rational curves on hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom., 44, (1996), 1, 200–213.
- [Vojta-78] Paul Vojta, *On algebraic points on curves*, Compositio Math., 78, (1991), 1, 29–36.

CHRISTOPHE MOUROUGANE, INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUES DE RENNES (IRMAR),
CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, 35402 RENNES.

E-mail address: christophe.mourougane@univ-rennes1.fr